

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.)	
and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 21-1453 (JLH)
)	
NETLIST, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
NETLIST, INC.,)	
)	
Counterclaim Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
GOOGLE LLC, ALPHABET INC.,)	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.)	
and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,)	
)	
Counterclaim Defendants.)	

NOTICE OF JURY VERDICT IN RELATED PROCEEDING

On December 1, 2023, the Court granted the motion to stay this action filed by Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Samsung”) and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (“SSI”) in light of *Netlist Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*, No. 8:20-cv-00993-MCS-ADS, a co-pending contract action in the Central District of California. *See* D.I. 223 (Order); D.I. 202 (Motion). In its December 1, 2023 Order, the Court directed the parties to “notify the Court within 7 days of any action by the Central District of California (or any other court) pertaining to the parties[’] rights under the JDLA that may merit lifting the stay.” D.I. 223.

On March 24, 2025, a jury in the Central District of California Action issued its verdict, adopting Netlist's proffered meaning of the disputed provision of the contract. *See* Ex. A (Verdict Form). The Court has not entered judgment in the Central District of California Action.

Samsung intends to challenge the verdict in post-trial motions, and if the Court enters an adverse judgment, Samsung intends to appeal. For at least these reasons, the Court should maintain the stay in this action.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Rodger D. Smith II

OF COUNSEL:

Brian Nester
Peter Swanson
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
(202) 662-6000

Alice J. Ahn
Thomas E. Garten
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
Salesforce Tower
415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
San Francisco, CA 94105-2533
(415) 591-6000

Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)
Anthony D. Raucci (#5948)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
rsmith@morrisnichols.com
araucci@morrisnichols.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-
Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and
Samsung Semiconductors, Inc.*

March 31, 2025

EXHIBIT A



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NETLIST INC.,

Plaintiff,

V.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No. 8:20-cv-00993-WLH-ADS

VERDICT FORM

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERDICT

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1:

At the time the parties entered the Joint Development and License Agreement (“JDLA”), what did the parties intend Section 6.2 to mean?

A. Samsung agreed to supply NAND and DRAM products to Netlist on Netlist's request at a competitive price, not limited to use in the joint development project.

B. Samsung agreed to supply, pursuant to the JDLA, NAND and DRAM products to Netlist only for the parties' NVDIMM-P joint development project, on Netlist's request at a competitive price.

If your answer to Question No. 1 is option A, do not answer Question No. 2, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

If your answer to Question No. 1 is option B, answer Question No. 2.

QUESTION NO. 2:

Did Netlist prove that Samsung materially breached its obligations under Section

Yes

No

Have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

REDACTED

Dated: 03/24/25

Signed:

Presiding Juror

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 31, 2025, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to all registered participants.

I further certify that I caused copies of the foregoing document to be served on March 31, 2025, upon the following in the manner indicated:

Karen E. Keller, Esquire *VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*
Nathan R. Hoeschen, Esquire
Emily S. DiBenedetto, Esquire
SHAW KELLER LLP
I.M. Pei Building
1105 North Market Street, 12th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff

Jason Sheasby, Esquire *VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*
H. Annita Zhong, Esquire
Michael Tezyan, Esquire
Thomas C. Werner, Esquire
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff

Jonathan M. Lindsay, Esquire *VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
840 Newport Center Dr., Ste. 400
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff

Kelly E. Farnan, Esquire *VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Counter-Defendants
Google LLC and Alphabet Inc.

Jonathan Tse, Esquire
Michael Trombetta, Esquire
Elle Wang, Esquire
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Attorneys for Counter-Defendants
Google LLC and Alphabet Inc.

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Deepa Acharya, Esquire
Jared Newton, Esquire
Sandy Shen, Esquire
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Attorneys for Counter-Defendants
Google LLC and Alphabet Inc.

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

David A. Persson, Esquire
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
4 Embarcadero Center
Suite 3500
San Francisco, CA 94111
Attorneys for Counter-Defendants
Google LLC and Alphabet Inc.

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

/s/ Rodger D. Smith II

Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)